The Role of Thr139 in the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Reverse Transcriptase Sensitivity to (+)-Calanolide A
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ABSTRACT

The coumarins represent a unique class of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) that were isolated from tropical plants. (+)-Calanolide A, the most potent compound of this class, selects for the T139I resistance mutation in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT). Seven RTs mutated at amino acid position 139 (Ala, Lys, Tyr, Asp, Ile, Ser, and Gln) were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis. The mutant T139Q enzyme retained full catalytic activity compared with wild-type RT, whereas the mutant T139I, T139S, and T139A RTs retained only 85 to 50% of the activity. Mutant T139K, T139D, and T139Y RTs had seriously impaired catalytic activities. The mutations in the T139I and T139D RTs were shown to destabilize the RT heterodimer. (+)-Calanolide A lost inhibitory activity (up to 20-fold) against the mutant T139Y, T139Q, T139K, and T139I enzymes. All of the mutant enzymes retained marked susceptibility toward the other NNRTIs, including nevirapine, delavirdine, efavirenz, thiooxadiazine UC-781, quinoline GW867420X, TSAO [(2',5'-bis-O-(tert-butylidemethylsilyl)-β-D-ribofuranosyl]-3'-spiro-5'-[(4'-amino-1',2'-oxathiole-2',2'-diox ide]-derivatives, and the nucleoside inhibitor, ddGTP. The fact that the T139I RT 1) proved to be resistant to (+)-calanolide A, 2) represents a catalytically efficient enzyme, and 3) requires only a single transition point mutation (ACA→ATA) in codon 139 seems to explain why mutant T139I RT virus strains, but not virus strains containing other amino acid changes at this position, predominantly emerge in cell cultures under (+)-calanolide A pressure.

Polycyclic coumarins, originally isolated as natural products from several plants of the genus Calophyllum, have been demonstrated to be active against HIV-1 (for a review, see Yu et al., 2003). (+)-Calanolide A, the most potent compound of this class, has been evaluated in antiviral activity studies against non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-resistant HIV-1 strains and related mutated RTs (Buckheit et al., 1999; Quan et al., 1999). Detailed enzyme kinetic studies on RT inhibition by (+)-calanolide A have also been performed. Unlike NNRTIs, which noncompetitively inhibit RT with respect to the substrate and template/primer, (+)-calanolide A is at least partly competitive compared with dNTP binding (Currens et al., 1996b). These findings suggest that (+)-calanolide A most probably interacts with RT in a manner that is mechanistically different from that of other NNRTIs described previously. In this respect, (+)-calanolide A may represent a unique class of HIV-1-specific NNRTIs. Despite these kinetic differences with NNRTIs, (+)-calanolide A, similar to most NNRTIs, is inactive against HIV-2 strains or other (retro)viruses (Kashman et al., 1992; Currens et al., 1996a).

One of the major problems associated with the NNRTIs is
the rapid emergence of drug resistant virus strains (Vandamme et al., 1998; Balzarini, 1999, 2004; De Clercq, 1999). Indeed, HIV-1 resistance to regular NNRTIs is primarily associated with mutations of amino acids that line the lipophilic NNRTI-specific binding pocket (Balzarini, 1999). (+)-Calanolide A selects in cell culture for the rather unusual T139I mutation in the HIV-1 RT (Buckheit et al., 1995). The mutant T139I HIV-1 strains are resistant to (+)-calanolide A but retain marked sensitivity to many other NNRTIs as well as several nucleoside RT inhibitors (NRTIs) (Buckheit et al., 1999). The Thr139 amino acid is part of the so-called β7-β8 loop, which comprises a six-amino acid motif denoted as SINNET. Whereas this loop is exposed to the solvent in the p66 subunit, the equivalent loop in p51 is snugly lodged into a cleft on the surface of the p66 subunit (Kohlstaedt et al., 1992). In fact, this loop, which is close to both the putative entrance to the NNRTI-binding pocket and the active site (Fig. 1), is essential for the catalytic function of the p66 subunit because it is required to form a stable heterodimeric enzyme (Pandey et al., 2001, 2002). It is also worth mentioning that resistance to the TSAO [(2',5'-bis-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-β-D-ribofuranosyl]-3'-spiro-5''-(4''-amino-1''-2''-oxathiole-2''-dioxide)] class of NNRTIs is achieved through mutation of Glu138 to lysine in the p51 β7-β8 loop (Balzarini et al., 1993a, 1993b). Because of the close proximity of Thr139 and Glu138 in HIV-1 RT, it is plausible that both (+)-calanolide A and TSAO derivatives share a similar site and/or mode of interaction with HIV-1 RT. Extending this analogy, (+)-calanolide A resistance must arise from the T139I mutation taking place in the p51 subunit rather than in the p66 subunit. This is in sharp contrast to the vast majority of mutations in the NNRTI-binding pocket conferring resistance to other NNRTIs, which are the result of substitutions occurring in the p66 subunit rather than in the p51 subunit. Recent reports on the mechanism of TSAO resistance and structural modeling have suggested an influence of TSAO on RT dimerization, which places this compound in a unique position among the NNRTIs (Sluis-Cremer et al., 2000; Rodríguez-Barrios et al., 2001).

In this study, we constructed seven different recombinant RT enzymes bearing a mutation at position 139 of RT and determined their catalytic activity as well as their resistance profiles against a variety of NNRTIs, including (+)-calanolide A and TSAO. The data obtained provide a rationale for the finding that it is the T139I mutation and not any other substitution at position 139 of HIV-1 RT that emerges under (+)-calanolide A pressure in cell culture.

Materials and Methods

Compounds. TSAO derivatives of N2-methylthymine (m2T) and thymine were synthesized as described previously (Balzarini et al., 1992). Nevirapine (BI-RG-587, dipridodiazepinomine) was obtained from Boehringer Ingleheim USA (Ridgefield, CT). Delavirdine (bis(heterozy)pipizamine, U-90152), and efavirenz (DMP-266) were provided by Dr. R. Kirch (at that time at Aventis, Frankfurt, Germany) and Dr. J.-P. Kleim (currently at GlaxoSmithKline, Stevenage, UK). The thiooxanilide derivative UC-781 was obtained from W. G. Brouwer (Crompton, Ltd., Guelph, Ontario, Canada). The quinoxaline GW420867X was provided by Dr. J.-P. Kleim. 2',3'-dideoxy-GTP (ddGTP) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). (+)-Calanolide A was delivered by Sarawak MediChem Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Sarawak, Malaysia).

Site-Directed Mutagenesis of HIV-1 Reverse Transcriptase. Mutant RT enzymes containing the T139A, T139Q, T139Y, T139K, T139I, T139S, or T139D mutations in both p66 and p51 subunits were derived from the RT sequence cloned in pKRT2His (D’Aquila and Summers, 1989). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, Cambridge, UK) as described previously (Pelemans et al., 1998). Two synthetic oligonucleotide primers (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) contained the desired mutation at amino acid position 139 of HIV-1 RT. The presence of the desired mutation was confirmed by sequencing of the RT gene on an ABI Prism 3100 sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using the ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystems). For all

![Fig. 1. Left, schematic representation of the dimeric structure of HIV-1 RT in complex with a DNA template-primer. The protein Ca trace of each subunit is shown as a ribbon colored pink for p66 and cyan for p51, whereas the DNA molecule (C atoms in green) and the incoming deoxythymidine triphosphate nucleotide (C atoms in green) are displayed as sticks. The Mg2+ ions at the active site are shown as yellow spheres. Right, enlarged view of the framed area shown on the left providing detail of the location of the β7-β8 loop of p51 at the subunit interface which includes Thr139 (side chain as sticks with C atoms in cyan and the OH oxygen in red).](image-url)
mutants, the mutation was introduced in both p66 and p51 subunits. For only the mutant T139I and T139D RT, the mutation was introduced solely in p66, solely in p51, or in both p66 and p51 subunits.

Construction of Plasmids Expressing Mutant and Wild-Type Recombinant HIV-1 RT. Recombinant HIV-1 RT were expressed from a two-plasmid coexpression system as described previously (Jonckheere et al., 1996). The p66 subunit of RT was expressed from pACYC686His and the p51 subunit from pKRT51. To construct wild-type and 139-mutated pACYC686His, wild-type and 139-mutated pKRT51His were digested with EcoRI and FspI, and the RT-containing fragments were ligated into pACYC184 digested with EcoRI and ScaI. To construct wild-type and 139-mutated pKRT51, wild-type and 139-mutated pKRT2His were digested with NcoI and KpnI, and the RT-containing fragment was ligated into pKRT51 digested with NcoI and KpnI.

Expression and Purification of Wild-Type and Mutant HIV-1 RT. Expression of recombinant HIV-1 RT was performed as described previously (Auwerx et al., 2004). Luria broth (800 ml) containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 10 µg/ml tetracycline was inoculated with an overnight culture of Escherichia coli JM109 transformed with both plasmids of the coexpression system and started at an OD600 of 0.1. The culture was grown at 37°C and induced with 1 mM final concentration of isopropyl-D-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside for expression of RT, and after centrifugation, the pellet was stored in a 50% glycerol buffer at −20°C. Later, the bacterial cell pellet was resuspended in 15 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.9% glucose, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 µg/ml pepstatin, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 10% glycerol) and passed through an SLM Aminco French Pressure Cell Press (Beun et al., 2004). The supernatant of the lysed bacterial cell culture was incubated with Ni-NTA resin (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). After sedimentation of the Ni-NTA resin with the bound (His)6-tagged proteins, a column was formed and washed twice with a sodium phosphate buffer containing 10 mM imidazole. The imidazole-containing buffer was exchanged with a sodium phosphate buffer containing 100 mM imidazole. The RT then was eluted from the column with a sodium phosphate buffer containing 125 mM imidazole. The imidazole-containing buffer was exchanged with a Tris-HCl buffer, and the eluate was concentrated to approximately 98% purity over a HiTrap Heparin column (Amersham Biosciences, Boston, MA) by adding 4 ml of HiSafe2 (Amersham Biosciences) substrate. After incubating for 10 min at 37°C, the reactions were terminated by the addition of 1 ml of 5% trichloroacetic acid in 200 mM Na4P2O7 and 200 µl of yeast RNA (2 mg/ml, pH 8.0). Reaction products were incubated on ice for 30 min and precipitated on a Whatman GF/C filter. The filters were washed with 20 ml of 5% trichloroacetic acid and dried with 2 ml of ethanol. The amount of incorporated radioactive substrate was analyzed in a TR-2500 liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA) by adding 4 ml of HisSafe2 (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences). Polymerase activity was determined as the amount of nucleotide incorporated at each urea concentration relative to the amount of nucleotide incorporation in the absence of denaturant. The percentage polymerase activity was plotted versus the urea concentration, and the data were fitted to a curve using the program SigmaPlot Version 8.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) to determine the concentration of urea at the midpoint of the denaturation curve.

Molecular Modeling and Structure Visualization. The protein environment around Thr139 in HIV-1 RT was visualized and pictured using the PyMOL molecular graphics program (DeLano, 2004), and the X-ray coordinates of a covalently trapped catalytic complex between HIV-1 RT and a DNA template/primer were deposited in the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/PDB/) with code 1RTD (Huang et al., 1998).

Results

RNA-Dependent DNA Polymerase Activities of Wild-Type and Mutant T139X HIV-1 RTs. To assess the influence of changes at the amino acid residue Thr139 on the catalytic activity of HIV-1 RT, seven recombinant RTs were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis: T139A, T139Q, T139Y, T139K, T139I, T139S, and T139D. In this way, the different types of amino acid side chains were represented: an aliphatic side chain in alanine, an aromatic side chain in tyrosine, a protonated amino group in the positively charged lysine, a carboxyline group in the negatively charged aspartic
acid, and a polar uncharged group in serine and glutamine. The T139I mutation was also introduced, because it consistently appears in cell culture under (+)-calanolide A-selective pressure. The mutations were introduced in both p66 and p51 subunits of the RT heterodimer, and all of the mutant recombinant RTs were purified to ≥98% homogeneity through Ni-NTA- and heparin-containing affinity columns.

Using poly(rC)·oligo(dG)12-18 as the template/primer and [3H]-dGTP as the radiolabeled substrate, the RNA-dependent DNA polymerase activity was fully retained in the T139Q mutant and was reduced by only 15% in the mutant T139I RT compared with wild type (Fig. 2). The polymerase activity was reduced by approximately 50% in the T139S and T139A RT mutants and was seriously impaired in the other mutants containing the negatively charged Asp139, the positively charged Lys139, and the aromatic amino acid mutation Tyr139.

To assess the role of the T139D and T139I mutations when separately located in the p66 and the p51 subunits of the RT heterodimer, four additional mutant RTs were constructed in which the T139D or T139I mutation was introduced solely in either the p66 or the p51 subunit of the heterodimeric RT enzyme. Whereas the mutant RT enzyme in which T139D was solely present in the p51 subunit had a catalytic activity that was 21 ± 3% wild-type enzyme, the heterodimeric enzyme at which T139D was solely present in p66 had a catalytic activity of 91 ± 3% wild-type enzyme. For the T139I mutation solely present in the p51 subunit, the catalytic activity was 59 ± 1% wild type, whereas the presence of this mutation in the p66 alone was 89 ± 3% wild type. Thus, the exclusive presence of the T139D or T139I mutation in the p51 subunit of the RT heterodimer had a much more deleterious effect on the catalytic activity of the mutant enzyme when these mutations were solely present in the p66 subunit of the RT heterodimer.

Inhibitory Activities of NNRTIs and ddGTP against Wild-Type and Mutant T139X HIV-1 RTs. The mutant T139Q/T139I/T139S/T139A/T139K/T139D/T139Y RT enzymes were evaluated for their sensitivity to the inhibitory activity of a variety of NNRTIs and the NRTI ddGTP (Table 1). Among all of the NNRTIs evaluated, (+)-calanolide A showed the most pronounced loss of inhibitory potential against the mutated enzymes. Indeed, the mutant T139K (20-fold), T139I (8-fold), T139Y (6-fold), and T139Q (6-fold) HIV-1 RT enzymes displayed marked resistance toward (+)-calanolide A compared with wild-type enzyme.

The RT enzyme bearing the T139K mutation was 4-fold less susceptible to the inhibitory activity of the TSAO derivatives of thymine and m3T. In contrast, most mutant enzymes gained significant sensitivity toward the second-generation NNRTIs, such as thiocarboxanilide UC-781 and efavirenz. This was most noticeable for the mutant T139A and T139D RTs (increases of up to 5- to 10-fold and 3- to 4-fold in sensitivity for UC-781 and efavirenz, respectively). The greater susceptibility of most mutant Thr139 enzymes to UC-781 and efavirenz was not a general property of second-generation NNRTIs, because the quinoxaline GW867420X kept a virtually similar inhibitory potential against each of the mutant RTs. As already observed for UC-781 and efavirenz, the mutated T139D RT enzyme was 5-fold more sensitive toward the inhibitory activity of the first-generation NNRTI nevirapine (Table 1). We were surprised that ddGTP showed a markedly decreased inhibitory activity (~7-fold) against several mutant RTs, in particular, T139Y and T139D RT.

Kinetic Analysis of Wild-Type and Mutant T139I HIV-1 RTs. Kinetic analysis of the wild-type and mutant T139I RTs was performed with the substrate dGTP or the template/primer poly(rC)·oligo(dG) as variables. The kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 2. When dGTP or the template/primer poly(rC)·oligo(dG) was used as the variable substrate, no marked differences in $K_m$ were noted between wild-type and mutant T139I enzyme. The catalytic efficiency of the mutant enzyme ($k_{cat}/K_m$) was very comparable between the mutant T139I and wild-type enzyme, indicating that the T139I mutation has no marked influence on positioning of the template/primer or the substrate in an optimal position to allow efficient catalysis.

Effects of Urea on Wild-Type and Mutant T139I and T139D HIV-1 RT Activity. Wild-type and mutant T139I and T139D RTs were exposed to a variety of urea concentrations, and their catalytic activity was determined (Fig. 4). For the wild-type enzyme, the polymerase activity gradually decreased in the presence of increasing concentrations of urea. A urea concentration as high as 0.5 M decreased the catalytic activity of wild-type RT by 20% (residual activity ~80%), whereas 2.0 M urea decreased its catalytic activity to less than 10%. Half of the wild-type RT catalytic activity was retained at ~0.80 M urea (i.e., the urea-IC$_{50}$). When the mutant T139I and T139D RT enzymes were exposed to similar concentrations of urea, the enzymes showed increased sensitivity toward the denaturing effect of urea compared with wild-type RT. Indeed, whereas the urea-IC$_{50}$ shifted from 0.80 to 0.55 M for the mutant T139I RT, the urea IC$_{50}$ was further decreased to 0.45 M for the mutant T139D RT enzyme (Fig. 4). A similar increased sensitivity to urea was observed for mutant T139D RT when the T139D mutation
was solely introduced in the p51 subunit (urea-IC$_{50}$ = 0.60 M), whereas the sensitivity to urea was not increased (urea-IC$_{50}$ = 0.95 M) when the T139D mutation was solely introduced in the p66 subunit (data not shown). There was a close correlation between the catalytic activity of the mutant T139D RT with mutation in both subunits, p66 solely and p51 solely, and the urea concentration required to decrease RT activity by 50%. The r-value of the regression line was as high as 0.995.

**Discussion**

(+)–Calanolide A possesses antiviral properties characteristically ascribed to NNRTIs (i.e., selectivity for HIV-1, but not HIV-2 strains, and rapid selection of drug-resistant virus strains containing NNRTI-characteristic mutations in RT). It is noteworthy that (+)–calanolide A exhibits a 10-fold enhanced activity against certain drug-resistant viruses that bear the most prevalent NNRTI resistance mutations such as the Y181C mutation (Buckheit et al., 1999). (+)–Calanolide A-resistant virus strains may carry, besides T139I, also L100I, Y188H, L187F, and N348K mutations in the RT (Currens et al., 1996b; Buckheit et al., 1999). Among these mutations, the single amino acid substitution T139I proved to be of major importance for the resistance against (+)–calanolide A and the effect of this mutation on drug resistance is engendered from its presence in the p51 subunit (Boyer et al., 1994). This p51 subunit dependence was also proven by the fact that resistance toward (+)–calanolide A was found in the mutant RT with a wild-type p66 and a mutant T139I p51 subunit and not vice versa. However, the occurrence of additional amino acid changes besides the T139I mutation in the RT of the selected virus strains must explain the pronounced resistance to (+)–calanolide A, because the single amino acid T139I mutation confers a relatively low level of resistance to this drug (up to 20-fold).

To the best of our knowledge, no detailed site-directed mutagenesis studies of the RT enzyme at this amino acid position have ever been performed to assess the impact of the different mutations on (+)–calanolide A sensitivity, RT catalytic activity, and the structural dynamics of HIV-1 RT. Moreover, the proximity of Thr139 to Glu138, the amino acid that is important for binding of TSAO derivatives to HIV-1 RT (Camarasa et al., 2004), also suggests that the binding sites for (+)–calanolide A and TSAO in HIV-1 RT could be near each other or even overlapping. Glu138 is one of the amino acid residues located near the putative entrance to the well defined NNRTI-binding pocket (Esnouf et al., 1997). Although (+)–calanolide A is structurally quite distinct from TSAO, it is not unfeasible that the predominant interaction of (+)–calanolide A with HIV-1 RT occurs with the β7–β8 loop located in the p51 RT subunit of RT. However, attempts to dock this drug in this region failed to provide a unique and distinctive binding mode (data not shown). This β7–β8 loop is important for heterodimerization of the RT enzyme as shown earlier for the TSAO interaction with G1838 of the β7–β8 loop (Pandey et al., 2001, 2002; Rodriguez-Barrios et al., 2001). As found for TSAO-derivatives, perhaps (+)–calanolide A can also enhance RT heterodimer dissociation, a feature that has previously not been observed for other types of NNRTIs (Sluis-Cremer et al., 2000).

The T139I mutation that appears under (+)–calanolide A selection is indeed located in the β7–β8 loop of p51 (Fig. 1) that is of crucial importance for efficient dimerization of both subunits. In agreement with the experimental data, molecular modeling of TSAO-m$^3$T binding to this loop in wild-type RT suggests that the observed destabilization of the heterodimeric RT may result from structural and conformational perturbations at the RT subunit interface (Sluis-Cremer et al., 2000; Rodriguez-Barrios et al., 2001). Likewise, mutations at the 139 position of the HIV-1 RT may destabilize the p66/p51 heterodimer in a similar way, thus diminishing the catalytic activity of the enzyme as described previously for some amino acid mutations at position 138 (Pelemans et al., 2001) and recently also at positions 136 (Balzarini et al., 2005) and 137 (Auwerx et al., 2005) of HIV-1 RT. Indeed, a seriously compromised RT activity was observed for several amino acid mutations at position 139, especially for the lysine and aspartic acid residues, which also resulted in a higher susceptibility of the mutated RT to the inactivating (denaturation) action of urea (Fig. 4). Therefore, it may be hypothesized that certain mutations at position 139, such as Lys or Asp, compromise the optimal conformation at the p66/p51 heterodimer interface, resulting in a decreased catalytic activity and easier separation of both subunits in the presence of urea. Low concentrations of urea probably have already a marked influence on RT activity, because it can destabilize the heterodimer before full dissociation into monomers occurs. Sluis-Cremer et al. (2000) reported a higher urea-IC$_{50}$ for wild-type RT (2.3 M) than that found in our study. This could be explained by the fact that the reaction buffer and purification methods of the RT are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT Mutation</th>
<th>Nevirapine</th>
<th>Delavirdine</th>
<th>Efavirenz</th>
<th>UC-781</th>
<th>GW867420X</th>
<th>TSAO-Thymine</th>
<th>(+)–Calanolide A</th>
<th>ddGTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139Y</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139K</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.039 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.001</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139H</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139A</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.0026 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.002</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139S</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0065 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139D</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.039 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T139Q</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.000</td>
<td>0.042 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
quite different in our methods; it also cannot be excluded that different salt concentrations have an influence on the urea-IC$_{50}$ as well. The different nature of the particular RT gene construct may also account for the observed differences.

Although Thr139 is rather conserved in wild-type HIV-1 strains, Ceccherini-Silberstein et al. (2005) found that 3.7% of drug-treated HIV-1-infected patients were bearing mutations at position 139. These amino acid mutations seemed to be Ala, Arg, Lys, Met, Ser, Val, Ile, and Pro. The absence of the aspartic acid and the tyrosine mutations at position 139 in NNRTI-treated patients is in agreement with our site-directed mutagenesis observations, because viruses with highly impaired enzymes, such as the mutant T139D RT (2.5% wild-type activity) and the mutant T139Y RT (0.6% wild-type activity), would probably not be viable in cell culture and/or patients. Because none of the patients was treated with (+)-calanolide A, it seems that other drugs may in some cases also select for mutations at Thr139 either to decrease the sensitivity of the virus for the particular drug or to compensate for a potential decreased replication capacity of other mutations in RT. There was clearly a drug pressure on the virus, because in drug-naive individuals, six different mutations were spontaneously found in 10 of 457 isolates (i.e., Val1, Met1, Ala1, Arg2, Ile2, and Pro3), whereas in drug-treated individuals nine different mutations were found in 72 of 1556 isolates (i.e., Lys19, Arg18, Ala13, Gln9, Met6, Ile3, Ser2, Val1, and Pro1).

A mutation at position 139 to either Gln, Ile, Lys, or Tyr would result in a 6- to 20-fold resistance to this compound at the enzymatic level, whereas the influence of the mutations Gln, Ile, Lys, or Tyr on the resistance/sensitivity of RT to other NNRTIs or NRTIs are very minor (Table 1). Therefore, these amino acid mutations can be theoretically expected to appear under (+)-calanolide A pressure. However, a Thr-to-Ile conversion can emerge by a single transition point mutation (ACA$\rightarrow$ATA), whereas double transversion point mutations (ACA$\rightarrow$CAA) are required for the Thr-to-Gln conversion, and even triple transversion point mutations (ACA$\rightarrow$TAT) are needed for the Thr to Tyr conversion. Therefore, a T139I RT mutation would be more likely to appear than the T139Q or T139Y mutations in HIV-1 RT. Moreover, the (+)-calanolide A-resistant T139K RT (which can also arise from a single point mutation) and mutant T139Y RT have a much lower catalytic activity than the mutant T139I RT enzyme. Thus, our site-directed mutagenesis and kinetic analysis of mutated T139X RTs makes it obvious why the T139I mutation must preferentially occur under (+)-calanolide A pressure in cell culture.

In a previous study using the Y2H RT dimerization assay, (+)-calanolide A had no detectable effect on RT dimerization (Tachedjian et al., 2001; Tachedjian and Goff, 2003). However, failure of an effect of (+)-calanolide A on RT dimerization can be explained by lack of entry of this compound in the yeast cells. Because the kinetic studies with (+)-calanolide A may suggest more than one site of interaction with the HIV-1 RT (Currens et al., 1996b), it would be very interesting to evaluate (+)-calanolide A in other p66-p51 RT dimerization assays. Given the fact that it has been shown that TSAO derivatives affect RT subunit dimerization, the appearance of the T139I resistance mutation under (+)-calanolide A pressure, which is near the TSAO-binding amino acid, Glu138, supports this view. In addition, the fact that the mutant T139D and T139I RT enzymes have increased sensitivity

![Fig. 3. Inhibitory activity of (+)-calanolide A against the catalytic activity of wild-type and mutant HIV-1 RT enzymes that contain the T139I or T139D mutation solely in the p66, solely in the p51, or both in the p66 and p51 subunits.](Image)

**Table 2**

Kinetic analysis of wild-type and mutant T139I RT enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Substrate or Template/Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Transcriptase</th>
<th>Wild-Type</th>
<th>T139I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05 µM</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2 µM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat}$</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.004 s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.001 s$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat}/K_m$</td>
<td>0.03 µM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.018 µM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(rC)oligo(dG)$_{12-18}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.08 µM</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2 µM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat}$</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01 s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.04 s$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat}/K_m$</td>
<td>0.6 µM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.34 µM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4. Effect of urea on the catalytic activity of mutant T139I, T139D, and wild-type HIV-1 RTs.](Image)
toward the denaturation activity of urea is also supportive of a role for Thr139 in the stabilization of the HIV-1 RT heterodimer. In addition, the lower catalytic efficiency that was observed for the mutant T139I enzyme can also be explained by ensuing structural/conformational differences at the dimerization interface that critically affect proper positioning of the primer/template and/or the orientation of the incoming substrate molecules (i.e., dGTP). Additional support to this hypothesis is provided by the markedly decreased inhibitory activity of the NRTI ddGTP against some of the T139X mutants (Table 1).

The observed hypersensitivity (up to 20-fold) toward the thiocarboxanilide UC-781 (and efavirenz) for the majority of HIV-1 RT mutants, with the exception of T139I, is intriguing. In particular, hypersensitivity of RT to UC-781 as a result of mutations at amino acid position 139 in RT is rather puzzling because UC-781 makes direct contacts with Lys101, Val106, Tyr181, and Phe227 of p66 but not with the p51 subunit (Balzarini et al., 1998; Ren et al., 2004). One possible explanation is that the definite shape that the NNRTI-binding pocket adopts when this inhibitor is lodged into it can be achieved more easily in the presence of these types of mutations.

The protein stretch ranging from Ile135 to Thr139 makes up the tip of the so-called β-β loop that is present in both p66 and p51 subunits. An important difference, however, is that in p66, this loop is exposed to the solvent, whereas in p51 it lies close to the dimerization interface (Fig. 1). The importance of the structural support imparted by the β-β loop is demonstrated by the severe impairment of the polymerase activity taking place upon mutation of Thr139 (Fig. 2). In the alanine substitution of amino acids 136–139 (Pandey et al., 1994), demonstrated by the severe impairment of the polymerase activity and the more pronounced denaturation effect of urea against the Thr139 mutant in the presence of (++) cacalanolide A (and efavirenz) for the majority of the HIV-1 RT heterodimer and thus represents the prototype compound of a new class of RT dimerization inhibitors.
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